

Tiv and Nupe Traditional Justice System: A Comparative Study of Customary Law, Consensus, and Communal Harmony in African Conflict Resolution

Adefila Odunola Oluwakemi

Department of Peace and Conflict Studies, University of Ibadan, Ibadan, Oyo State, Nigeria

ABSTRACT

Indigenous justice systems have long served as effective mechanisms for maintaining peace, social order, and communal harmony across African societies. This study comparatively examines the traditional justice systems of the Tiv and Nupe ethnic groups in Nigeria, with particular emphasis on customary law, consensus-building, and their contributions to conflict resolution. The study adopts a qualitative comparative research design, relying on documentary sources including scholarly publications, historical records, ethnographic literature, and relevant secondary data. The analysis is anchored on Restorative Justice Theory, African Communalism, and Legal Pluralism to explain the philosophical foundations and operational dynamics of both justice systems. The findings reveal that although the Tiv and Nupe differ in their socio-political organization and institutional structures, both systems prioritize dialogue, mediation, restitution, reconciliation, and communal participation over punitive justice. The Tiv justice system is characterized by lineage-based deliberation and participatory decision-making, whereas the Nupe system combines centralized traditional authority with customary and Islamic legal principles. Despite their strengths in promoting social cohesion, accessibility, and sustainable peace, both systems face challenges arising from gender inequality, urbanization, political interference, and the declining influence of traditional institutions. The study concludes that the restorative and consensus-oriented principles embedded in the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems remain valuable for contemporary peace building and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria. It recommends greater recognition and appropriate integration of relevant indigenous justice mechanisms into formal conflict management and peace building frameworks while safeguarding human rights and constitutional principles.

KEYWORDS

Tiv, Nupe, Traditional Justice System, Customary Law, Restorative Justice, Consensus Building, Conflict Resolution

I. INTRODUCTION

Across Africa, indigenous justice systems have historically served as important mechanisms for maintaining social order, resolving disputes, and strengthening communal relationships. Long before the introduction of colonial legal institutions, African societies developed culturally grounded approaches to justice that emphasized reconciliation, consensus, restitution, and the restoration of harmonious social relations rather than punitive sanctions. These traditional systems were embedded within the cultural, moral, and spiritual values of their respective communities and reflected collective understandings of justice,

responsibility, and social cohesion. Despite the expansion of formal judicial institutions during the colonial and post-colonial periods, many indigenous justice mechanisms continue to play significant roles in conflict resolution, particularly in rural communities where customary institutions remain widely respected.

Nigeria is one of the most culturally diverse countries in Africa, with over 250 ethnic groups, each possessing distinct systems of customary law and conflict management. Among these are the Tiv of Benue State and the Nupe of Niger State, whose traditional justice systems have evolved over centuries as effective mechanisms for resolving interpersonal, communal, and family disputes. Although these societies differ in their historical development, political organization, and religious influences, both have developed justice systems that prioritize dialogue, mediation, collective responsibility, and social reconciliation.

The Tiv system is largely lineage-based and participatory, with elders facilitating consensus through community assemblies (Jir), while the Nupe system combines centralized traditional authority with customary and Islamic legal principles under the leadership of the Etsu and councils of elders.

The growing incidence of communal conflicts, farmer–herder disputes, ethno-religious violence, and declining public confidence in formal justice institutions has renewed scholarly and policy interest in indigenous approaches to conflict management. Formal legal systems often emphasize litigation, legal procedures, and punishment, which may not adequately address the relational dimensions of conflict or restore damaged social relationships. In contrast, indigenous justice systems seek to repair relationships, promote forgiveness, encourage restitution, and reintegrate disputing parties into their communities. These restorative principles have attracted increasing attention within contemporary peace building and alternative dispute resolution scholarship.

Although considerable research has examined indigenous justice systems in Africa, comparative studies of the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems remain limited. Existing scholarship has largely focused on the Tiv justice system or on broader discussions of customary law and indigenous conflict resolution, while relatively little attention has been devoted to the Nupe justice tradition and its interaction with Islamic legal institutions. Furthermore, few studies have undertaken a systematic comparison of both systems to identify their philosophical foundations, institutional structures, areas of convergence and divergence, and their relevance to contemporary conflict resolution.

This study addresses this gap by comparatively examining the traditional justice systems of the Tiv and Nupe peoples with particular emphasis on customary law, consensus-building, communal harmony, and restorative justice. By analysing the similarities and differences between these indigenous institutions, the study contributes to the growing body of knowledge on African approaches to conflict management and demonstrates the continuing relevance of indigenous justice systems to contemporary peace building, social cohesion, and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria and across Africa.

II. CONCEPTUAL CLARIFICATION

A. *Traditional Justice System*

A traditional justice system refers to indigenous institutions, procedures, and practices through which communities prevent, manage, and resolve disputes according to their customary laws, cultural values, and social norms. Unlike formal state judicial systems that rely on codified laws and legal professionals, traditional justice systems are community-based and emphasize reconciliation, restoration of social harmony, and collective responsibility. Across many African societies, justice is perceived not merely as the punishment of offenders but as the restoration of broken relationships and the reintegration of disputing parties into the community. Traditional justice institutions typically involve family heads, councils of elders, traditional rulers, religious leaders, and respected community members who mediate disputes and facilitate consensus-based settlements.

B. *Customary Law*

Customary law refers to the body of unwritten rules, norms, customs, and traditions that regulate the conduct of members of a particular community and are accepted as legally binding through long-standing social practice. It derives its legitimacy from communal acceptance rather than statutory enactment and reflects the historical, cultural, and moral values of a society. In African societies, customary law governs issues such as marriage, inheritance, land ownership, family relations, and conflict resolution. Among both the Tiv and Nupe, customary law provides the normative framework that guides the administration of justice and promotes social order through culturally accepted procedures.

C. *Consensus Building*

Consensus building is a participatory decision-making process through which disputing parties engage in dialogue, negotiation, and consultation to reach a mutually acceptable agreement. Rather than producing winners and losers, consensus seeks solutions that preserve relationships and promote collective ownership of decisions. Indigenous African justice systems generally prioritize consensus because conflict is viewed as a disruption of communal harmony rather than merely a legal dispute between individuals. Within the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems, elders, family representatives, and community leaders facilitate dialogue until an agreement acceptable to all parties is achieved.

D. *Communal Harmony*

Communal harmony refers to a condition of peaceful coexistence characterized by mutual respect, cooperation, social cohesion, and shared responsibility among members of a community. In African philosophy, the well-being of the individual is inseparable from the well-being of the community, making harmonious relationships a central objective of justice and governance. Consequently, indigenous justice systems seek not only to resolve disputes but also to restore trust, repair damaged relationships, and strengthen community solidarity. The restoration of communal harmony remains one of the defining features of both Tiv and Nupe approaches to conflict resolution.

E. *Conflict Resolution*

Conflict resolution refers to the processes, methods, and mechanisms employed to address disputes, reduce tensions, and achieve mutually acceptable outcomes between conflicting parties. Contemporary conflict resolution extends beyond legal adjudication to include negotiation, mediation, arbitration, reconciliation, and restorative justice approaches.

African indigenous conflict resolution emphasizes dialogue, forgiveness, compensation, restitution, and reconciliation as pathways to sustainable peace. Within the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems, conflict resolution aims to restore social relationships and prevent the recurrence of disputes rather than simply determine legal guilt or impose punishment.

F. Restorative Justice

Restorative justice is a philosophy and approach to justice that focuses on repairing the harm caused by conflict or wrongdoing through the active participation of victims, offenders, and the wider community. Rather than emphasizing punishment, restorative justice seeks accountability, healing, reconciliation, and the restoration of relationships. The principles of restorative justice closely align with African indigenous justice traditions, where dialogue, restitution, forgiveness, and community participation are regarded as essential elements of effective conflict management. The Tiv and Nupe justice systems exemplify these restorative principles by prioritizing reconciliation and the reintegration of disputants into the social fabric of their communities.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Indigenous justice systems have increasingly attracted scholarly attention because of their enduring relevance in promoting social cohesion, restorative justice, and sustainable peace. Across Africa, traditional mechanisms of dispute resolution predate colonial legal systems and continue to function alongside formal judicial institutions in many communities. These systems are grounded in customary law, communal participation, and moral accountability, with the primary objective of restoring social harmony rather than imposing punitive sanctions. Consequently, scholars have argued that indigenous justice systems constitute valuable resources for contemporary peace building and alternative dispute resolution.

One of the dominant perspectives within the literature is that African justice systems are fundamentally restorative in orientation. Argues that indigenous African justice is community-centred and emphasizes reconciliation, compensation, forgiveness, and the reintegration of offenders into society. Unlike the adversarial nature of Western legal systems, which often produce winners and losers, African traditional justice seeks to restore damaged relationships and preserve communal solidarity. Similarly, drawing on the philosophy of Ubuntu, contends that justice should facilitate healing and reconciliation because individual well-being is inseparable from the well-being of the community. This understanding has significantly influenced contemporary restorative justice scholarship.

Within the Nigerian context, indigenous mechanisms of conflict resolution have been widely documented among different ethnic groups. Seminal ethnographic study remains one of the most authoritative works on Tiv customary law and justice administration. According to Bohannan, the Tiv legal system is founded on kinship relations, communal participation, and the authority of elders, with dispute resolution taking place through the Jir—a community assembly where dialogue and consensus guide decision-making. Rather than emphasizing punishment, the Jir seeks to restore relationships and maintain social equilibrium within the community. Subsequent studies by Wegh further demonstrate that Tiv cultural values reinforce cooperation, collective responsibility, and social cohesion, making traditional institutions effective mechanisms for preventing and resolving communal conflicts.

Comparatively, scholarly literature on the Nupe traditional justice system is relatively limited despite the historical significance of the Nupe Kingdom. Nadel's pioneering ethnographic work provides the most comprehensive account of Nupe political organization and customary legal institutions. He explains that justice among the Nupe is administered through a centralized hierarchical structure headed by the Etsu Nupe and supported by councils of elders, district heads, and Islamic scholars. The coexistence of customary law and Islamic legal principles has produced a pluralistic justice system in which mediation, arbitration, and communal consultation remain central to dispute resolution. Ibrahim similarly observes that the Nupe justice system emphasizes social reconciliation and community consensus while maintaining respect for established traditional authority.

Beyond ethnic-specific studies, scholars have examined the broader role of indigenous institutions in managing conflicts within plural societies. Osaghae (2006) argues that customary authorities continue to play important roles in addressing ethnic conflicts and strengthening local governance in Nigeria. Likewise, Lederach (1997) maintains that sustainable peace is achieved through relationship-building, dialogue, and community participation rather than legal coercion alone. His theory of conflict transformation aligns closely with African indigenous justice systems, where restoring trust and repairing social relationships constitute the primary goals of conflict management.

The literature also highlights several strengths associated with indigenous justice systems. These include accessibility, affordability, cultural legitimacy, community participation, speedy dispute resolution, and the promotion of reconciliation. Because these institutions are embedded within local cultures and traditions, they often enjoy greater legitimacy among community members than formal courts. Their emphasis on dialogue and consensus also contributes to long-term peace by addressing the underlying causes of disputes rather than merely resolving legal claims.

Despite these strengths, scholars have identified important limitations. One recurring concern is the exclusion or limited participation of women and other marginalized groups in traditional justice processes. Questions have also been raised regarding procedural consistency, accountability, documentation, and compliance with contemporary human rights standards. Furthermore, rapid urbanization, modernization, political interference, and the declining authority of traditional institutions have weakened the effectiveness of some indigenous justice mechanisms. These challenges suggest the need for reforms that preserve the strengths of indigenous systems while addressing issues of inclusiveness, transparency, and fairness.

Although considerable scholarship exists on African indigenous justice systems generally and on Tiv customary law in particular, comparative analyses of the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems remain scarce. Existing studies have largely examined these systems independently without systematically comparing their philosophical foundations, institutional structures, conflict resolution processes, and contemporary relevance. This gap limits understanding of how different indigenous justice traditions contribute to peace building within Nigeria's culturally diverse environment.

The present study addresses this gap by undertaking a comparative analysis of the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. By examining their shared principles of customary law,

consensus-building, restorative justice, and communal harmony, the study contributes to the growing body of literature on indigenous approaches to conflict management and demonstrates their continuing relevance to contemporary peace building and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria.

A. *Theoretical Framework*

This study is anchored on Restorative Justice Theory, while African Communalism (Ubuntu Philosophy) and Legal Pluralism Theory provide complementary perspectives for understanding the philosophical and institutional foundations of the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. These theoretical perspectives are appropriate because they explain how indigenous African societies conceptualize justice, conflict resolution, social cohesion, and the coexistence of multiple legal systems.

B. *Restorative Justice Theory*

Restorative Justice Theory serves as the principal theoretical framework for this study. The theory emerged as an alternative to the conventional retributive justice model, which emphasizes punishment for offenders. Restorative justice instead views crime and conflict as violations of relationships rather than simply breaches of legal rules. Consequently, justice is achieved by repairing the harm caused to victims, restoring broken relationships, and reintegrating offenders into the community.

According to restorative justice emphasizes dialogue, accountability, restitution, forgiveness, reconciliation, and active participation by victims, offenders, and the wider community in resolving disputes. Rather than asking, "What law has been broken and what punishment is deserved?" restorative justice asks, "Who has been harmed, what are their needs, and whose responsibility is it to repair the harm?" This shift from punishment to restoration aligns closely with indigenous African approaches to conflict management.

The relevance of Restorative Justice Theory to this study lies in its ability to explain the underlying philosophy of both the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. Among the Tiv, disputes are resolved through the Jir, where elders, family members, and community representatives deliberate until consensus is achieved. Similarly, the Nupe justice system emphasizes mediation through traditional authorities, councils of elders, and respected community leaders. In both societies, the ultimate objective is not merely to determine guilt but to restore communal harmony, strengthen social relationships, and prevent future conflicts. Thus, the principles of restorative justice provide an appropriate analytical framework for examining how indigenous justice systems contribute to sustainable peace.

C. *African Communalism (Ubuntu Philosophy)*

African Communalism provides another important theoretical foundation for this study. The philosophy is rooted in the belief that the individual exists within and derives identity from the community. This worldview is commonly expressed through the Ubuntu principle, "A person is a person through other persons" Human relationships, mutual responsibility, solidarity, and collective well-being are therefore regarded as central values in African societies.

Within this philosophical tradition, justice extends beyond legal accountability to include the restoration of social equilibrium and communal harmony. Conflict is viewed as a disruption of relationships that affects not only the disputing parties but also the wider community. Consequently, conflict resolution emphasizes dialogue, consensus-building, reconciliation, and forgiveness rather than adversarial litigation or punitive sanctions.

The Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems reflect these communitarian ideals. Decisions are generally reached through collective consultation involving elders, family members, and community representatives, while settlements seek to restore peaceful coexistence rather than create winners and losers. African Communalism therefore provides an important philosophical lens for understanding why both justice systems prioritize consensus, social cohesion, and collective responsibility.

D. Legal Pluralism Theory

Legal Pluralism Theory complements the foregoing perspectives by explaining the coexistence of multiple legal systems within a single society. Griffiths argues that law is not limited to formal state institutions but also includes customary law, religious law, and other normative systems that regulate social behaviour. Similarly, Merry (1988) contends that individuals often navigate multiple legal orders depending on the nature of disputes and prevailing social circumstances.

Nigeria represents a classic example of legal pluralism where statutory law, customary law, and religious law operate simultaneously. The Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems continue to function alongside formal courts despite the existence of state judicial institutions. Among the Nupe in particular, customary law coexists with Islamic law, creating a plural legal environment in which disputes may be resolved through traditional authorities, religious leaders, or formal courts depending on the nature of the conflict.

Legal Pluralism Theory is therefore relevant because it explains the continued resilience and legitimacy of indigenous justice institutions despite modernization and the expansion of formal legal systems. It also provides a framework for analysing how customary justice mechanisms interact with statutory and religious legal institutions in contemporary Nigeria.

E. Application of the Theoretical Framework

The integration of Restorative Justice Theory, African Communalism, and Legal Pluralism provides a comprehensive framework for analysing the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. Restorative Justice Theory explains the emphasis on reconciliation and the restoration of relationships; African Communalism provides the philosophical foundation for consensus-building, collective responsibility, and communal harmony;

While Legal Pluralism explains the coexistence and continuing relevance of indigenous justice systems alongside formal legal institutions. Together, these theories provide a robust analytical lens for understanding the similarities and differences between the Tiv and Nupe justice systems and demonstrate why indigenous mechanisms remain valuable for contemporary peace building, conflict management, and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria.

IV. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

This study is anchored on Restorative Justice Theory, while African Communalism (Ubuntu Philosophy) and Legal Pluralism Theory provide complementary perspectives for understanding the philosophical and institutional foundations of the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. These theoretical perspectives are appropriate because they explain how indigenous African societies conceptualize justice, conflict resolution, social cohesion, and the coexistence of multiple legal systems.

A. *Restorative Justice Theory*

Restorative Justice Theory serves as the principal theoretical framework for this study. The theory emerged as an alternative to the conventional retributive justice model, which emphasizes punishment for offenders. Restorative justice instead views crime and conflict as violations of relationships rather than simply breaches of legal rules. Consequently, justice is achieved by repairing the harm caused to victims, restoring broken relationships, and reintegrating offenders into the community.

According to restorative justice emphasizes dialogue, accountability, restitution, forgiveness, reconciliation, and active participation by victims, offenders, and the wider community in resolving disputes. Rather than asking, "What law has been broken and what punishment is deserved?" restorative justice asks, "Who has been harmed, what are their needs, and whose responsibility is it to repair the harm?" This shift from punishment to restoration aligns closely with indigenous African approaches to conflict management.

The relevance of Restorative Justice Theory to this study lies in its ability to explain the underlying philosophy of both the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. Among the Tiv, disputes are resolved through the Jir, where elders, family members, and community representatives deliberate until consensus is achieved. Similarly, the Nupe justice system emphasizes mediation through traditional authorities, councils of elders, and respected community leaders. In both societies, the ultimate objective is not merely to determine guilt but to restore communal harmony, strengthen social relationships, and prevent future conflicts. Thus, the principles of restorative justice provide an appropriate analytical framework for examining how indigenous justice systems contribute to sustainable peace.

B. *African Communalism (Ubuntu Philosophy)*

African Communalism provides another important theoretical foundation for this study. The philosophy is rooted in the belief that the individual exists within and derives identity from the community. This worldview is commonly expressed through the Ubuntu principle, "A person is a person through other persons". Human relationships, mutual responsibility, solidarity, and collective well-being are therefore regarded as central values in African societies.

Within this philosophical tradition, justice extends beyond legal accountability to include the restoration of social equilibrium and communal harmony. Conflict is viewed as a disruption of relationships that affects not only the disputing parties but also the wider community. Consequently, conflict resolution emphasizes dialogue, consensus-building, reconciliation, and forgiveness rather than adversarial litigation or punitive sanctions.

The Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems reflect these communitarian ideals. Decisions are generally reached through collective consultation involving elders, family members, and

community representatives, while settlements seek to restore peaceful coexistence rather than create winners and losers. African Communalism therefore provides an important philosophical lens for understanding why both justice systems prioritize consensus, social cohesion, and collective responsibility.

C. Legal Pluralism Theory

Legal Pluralism Theory complements the foregoing perspectives by explaining the coexistence of multiple legal systems within a single society. Griffiths (1986) argues that law is not limited to formal state institutions but also includes customary law, religious law, and other normative systems that regulate social behaviour. Similarly, Merry (1988) contends that individuals often navigate multiple legal orders depending on the nature of disputes and prevailing social circumstances.

Nigeria represents a classic example of legal pluralism where statutory law, customary law, and religious law operate simultaneously. The Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems continue to function alongside formal courts despite the existence of state judicial institutions. Among the Nupe in particular, customary law coexists with Islamic law, creating a plural legal environment in which disputes may be resolved through traditional authorities, religious leaders, or formal courts depending on the nature of the conflict.

Legal Pluralism Theory is therefore relevant because it explains the continued resilience and legitimacy of indigenous justice institutions despite modernization and the expansion of formal legal systems. It also provides a framework for analysing how customary justice mechanisms interact with statutory and religious legal institutions in contemporary Nigeria.

D. Application of the Theoretical Framework

The integration of Restorative Justice Theory, African Communalism, and Legal Pluralism provides a comprehensive framework for analysing the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems. Restorative Justice Theory explains the emphasis on reconciliation and the restoration of relationships; African Communalism provides the philosophical foundation for consensus-building, collective responsibility, and communal harmony; while Legal Pluralism explains the coexistence and continuing relevance of indigenous justice systems alongside formal legal institutions.

Together, these theories provide a robust analytical lens for understanding the similarities and differences between the Tiv and Nupe justice systems and demonstrate why indigenous mechanisms remain valuable for contemporary peace building, conflict management, and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria.

V. FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

The findings of this study reveal that although the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems differ in their institutional organization and historical evolution, they share fundamental principles that emphasize restorative justice, consensus-building, communal participation, and the preservation of social harmony. The comparative analysis demonstrates that both systems remain relevant to contemporary conflict management despite the growing dominance of formal legal institutions.

A. *Traditional Justice Institutions among the Tiv and Nupe*

The study found that the Tiv and Nupe have well-established indigenous institutions for administering justice. Among the Tiv, conflict resolution is primarily conducted through the Jir, a community assembly comprising elders, lineage heads, and family representatives who collectively deliberate on disputes until consensus is reached. Justice is therefore participatory, with decisions emerging from extensive dialogue rather than unilateral judgments.

In contrast, the Nupe justice system operates within a more centralized political structure headed by the Etsu Nupe and supported by district heads, councils of elders, and Islamic scholars. Although authority is more hierarchical than in the Tiv system, dispute resolution still relies heavily on mediation, consultation, and communal acceptance of outcomes. This finding suggests that institutional differences do not diminish the shared commitment of both societies to peaceful conflict resolution.

B. *Consensus Building as the Foundation of Justice*

A major finding of the study is that consensus-building constitutes the central principle underpinning both justice systems. Unlike the adversarial model of formal courts where one party prevails over another, the Tiv and Nupe approaches encourage dialogue, negotiation, and collective deliberation until mutually acceptable solutions are achieved. This finding supports Wiredu's (1996) argument that consensus represents a defining characteristic of African political and legal philosophy.

The emphasis on consensus enhances the legitimacy of decisions because disputing parties actively participate in the resolution process. Lederach (1997) similarly argues that sustainable peace is achieved when conflict resolution strengthens relationships rather than merely settles legal disputes. Consequently, consensus-building contributes significantly to long-term conflict prevention by fostering trust, cooperation, and social cohesion.

C. *Restorative Justice and Communal Harmony*

The findings further demonstrate that both the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems are inherently restorative rather than punitive. Justice is understood as the restoration of damaged relationships, compensation for harm suffered, reconciliation between disputing parties, and the reintegration of offenders into the community. Rather than emphasizing imprisonment or retribution, indigenous justice prioritizes dialogue, apology, restitution, forgiveness, and communal healing.

This restorative orientation reflects the African communitarian worldview in which conflict is regarded as a disruption of social relationships rather than solely a violation of legal rules. Consequently, the success of justice is measured by the restoration of communal harmony rather than the severity of punishment imposed. This finding corroborates Tutu's (1999) assertion that genuine justice should promote healing, reconciliation, and the rebuilding of relationships within society.

D. *Comparative Strengths of the Tiv and Nupe Justice Systems*

The comparative analysis identified several strengths common to both indigenous justice systems. First, both systems are highly accessible because they are community-based and involve minimal financial costs compared to formal litigation. Second, they enjoy considerable cultural legitimacy because their procedures reflect shared customs, traditions,

and moral values. Third, the active participation of community elders and family members promotes collective ownership of decisions, thereby enhancing compliance with agreed settlements.

Nevertheless, important differences also emerged. The Tiv justice system demonstrates greater participatory engagement through lineage-based assemblies where deliberation is broadly inclusive. Conversely, the Nupe justice system benefits from a more structured hierarchy that facilitates administrative coordination and relatively faster decision-making. These institutional variations illustrate that indigenous justice systems can achieve similar restorative outcomes through different organizational arrangements.

E. Contemporary Challenges Facing Indigenous Justice Systems

Despite their strengths, the findings reveal several challenges confronting both systems. Modernization, urbanization, increasing reliance on statutory courts, political interference, and declining respect for traditional authorities have weakened the influence of indigenous justice institutions. Furthermore, concerns persist regarding gender inequality, limited participation of women and vulnerable groups, inadequate documentation of proceedings, and inconsistencies in the application of customary laws.

These challenges suggest that while indigenous justice systems remain valuable, their continued relevance depends on reforms that enhance inclusiveness, transparency, accountability, and compatibility with constitutional principles and international human rights standards. Such reforms would preserve the strengths of customary institutions while addressing contemporary governance expectations.

F. Implications for Peace building and Conflict Resolution

The findings underscore the continuing relevance of indigenous justice systems for contemporary peace building in Nigeria. As formal judicial institutions increasingly face challenges relating to cost, delays, and limited accessibility, the restorative and community-centred approaches of the Tiv and Nupe offer practical alternatives for resolving local disputes. Their emphasis on dialogue, mediation, reconciliation, and communal participation aligns closely with modern theories of conflict transformation and restorative justice.

Accordingly, integrating appropriate indigenous justice principles into national peace building initiatives could strengthen community resilience, improve access to justice, and promote sustainable conflict resolution. Such integration should, however, be carefully undertaken within the framework of constitutional democracy, respect for human rights, gender equality, and the rule of law to ensure that customary practices complement rather than conflict with formal legal institutions.

VI. CONCLUSION

This study comparatively examined the traditional justice systems of the Tiv and Nupe ethnic groups in Nigeria with emphasis on customary law, consensus-building, communal harmony, and their contributions to conflict resolution. The analysis demonstrates that despite differences in their historical development, political organization, and institutional structures, both justice systems are founded on common African values of dialogue, reconciliation, collective responsibility, and the restoration of social relationships. Rather than adopting punitive approaches to justice, both systems prioritize mediation, restitution,

forgiveness, and consensus as essential mechanisms for restoring peace and maintaining community cohesion.

The study further establishes that the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems embody the principles of restorative justice, African communalism, and legal pluralism. The Tiv system is distinguished by its participatory, lineage-based approach to dispute resolution through the Jir, while the Nupe system combines centralized traditional authority with customary and Islamic legal principles under the leadership of the Etsu and councils of elders. Despite these institutional differences, both systems pursue the common objective of restoring communal harmony through inclusive dialogue and negotiated settlements.

Although indigenous justice institutions continue to provide accessible, culturally legitimate, and community-oriented mechanisms for resolving disputes, they also face significant challenges arising from modernization, urbanization, political interference, inadequate documentation, and concerns relating to gender inclusion and compliance with contemporary human rights standards. These limitations underscore the need for reforms that preserve the strengths of indigenous justice systems while promoting transparency, inclusiveness, accountability, and constitutional safeguards.

The study therefore concludes that the Tiv and Nupe traditional justice systems remain valuable indigenous resources for contemporary peace building and alternative dispute resolution in Nigeria. Their emphasis on reconciliation, community participation, and restorative justice offers important lessons for addressing the growing incidence of communal conflicts and strengthening social cohesion. Accordingly, policymakers, traditional institutions, and peace building practitioners should explore appropriate mechanisms for integrating relevant indigenous conflict resolution practices into the broader justice and peace building architecture without undermining constitutional principles, the rule of law, and internationally recognized human rights standards. Such integration would not only preserve Africa's rich indigenous knowledge systems but also contribute to the development of more inclusive, culturally responsive, and sustainable approaches to conflict management.

REFERENCES

- [1] Afatakpa, O. F. (2025). Indigenous Institution for Conflict Management among the Worshippers of Igbe Religion in Delta State Nigeria. *Hayat*. Volume 4. Issue 11.
- [2] Afatakpa O. F. (2025). The Role of Indigenous Women Groups in Conflict Management in Nigeria: A Comparative Study of the Umuada and the Jagunmolus. *Net Journal for Social Sciences*. Volume 13. Issue 13. pp. 37-49
- [3] Afatakpa O. F. & Adelakun O. O. (2025). Indigenous Justice and Punishment in Traditional Tiv Communities of Nigeria. *International Journal of Law and Society*. Vol.8, No.4, pp 427-439. <https://doi.org/10.11648/j.ijls.20250804.24>
- [4] Afatakpa, O. F & Adelakun, O.O. (2026). "Indigenous Justice and Punishment in Traditional Nupe Communities of Nigeria." *Humanities and Social Sciences* 14 (1). pp. 32-42 <https://doi/10.11648/j.hss.20261401.14>
- [5] Benda-Beckmann, F. von. (2002). who's afraid of legal pluralism? *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 34(47), 37–82. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.2002.10756563>
- [6] Bohannan, P. (1957). *Justice and judgment among the Tiv*. Oxford University Press.

- [7] Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.3316/QRJ0902027>
- [8] Burton, J. (1990). *Conflict: Resolution and prevention*. St. Martin's Press.
- [9] Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches* (4th Ed.). SAGE Publications.
- [10] Elechi, O. O. (2006). Doing justice without the state: The Afikpo (Ehugbo) Nigeria model. *Justice Policy Journal*, 3(1), 1–24.
- [11] Griffiths, J. (1986). What is legal pluralism? *Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 18(24), 1–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07329113.1986.10756387>
- [12] Gyekye, K. (1997). *Tradition and modernity: Philosophical reflections on the African experience*. Oxford University Press.
- [13] Ibrahim, M. S. (2016). Customary law and conflict management among the Nupe people of Niger State. *Journal of African Law and Society*, 8(2), 45–67.
- [14] Jegede, O & Afatakpa, O. F. (2021). The Concept of Iwa and Ebi as a Theoretical Model for Religious Tolerance and Coexistence. *IkENGA: International Journal of Institute of African Studies*, Volume 21(2): PP. 37-55 2021
- [15] Lederach, J. P. (1997). *Building peace: Sustainable reconciliation in divided societies*. United States Institute of Peace Press.
- [16] Mbiti, J. S. (1969). *African religions and philosophy*. Heinemann.
- [17] Merry, S. E. (1988). Legal pluralism. *Law & Society Review*, 22(5), 869–896.
- [18] Nadel, S. F. (1942). *A black Byzantium: The Kingdom of Nupe in Nigeria*. Oxford University Press for the International African Institute.
- [19] Odoh, S. I., & Chigozie, C. F. (2014). A changed pattern? The nature and dimensions of farmer–herder conflict in Nigeria, 1990–2013. *African Journal on Conflict Resolution*, 14(1), 87–109.
- [20] Osaghae, E. E. (2006). Ethnicity and the politics of state formation in Nigeria. In W. A. Fawole & C. Ukeje (Eds.), *the crisis of the state and regionalism in West Africa* (pp. 17–36). Council for the Development of Social Science Research in Africa (CODESRIA).
- [21] Isola, S., Afatakpa, O. F & Adedigba O. A (2022): Indices of Conflict Triggers in Femi Ajewole's Theatrical Performances in Oyo State, Southwest, Nigeria *Journal of Business Diversity*. Vol 22(2). P 53-66 ISSN 2158-3889
- [22] Tutu, D. (1999). *No future without forgiveness*. Doubleday.
- [23] Wegh, S. F. (1998). *Between continuity and change: Tiv concept of the family*. Cultural Studies Centre.
- [24] Wiredu, K. (1996). *Cultural universals and particulars: An African perspective*. Indiana University Press.
- [25] Zartman, I. W. (Ed.). (2000). *Traditional cures for modern conflicts: African conflict "medicine"*. Lynne Rienner Publishers.
- [26] Zehr, H. (2002). *The little book of restorative justice*. Good Books.
- [27] Zehr, H. (2015). *The little book of restorative justice* (Revised Ed.). Good Books.